EFFECTS OF TWO-WEEK HIGH-INTENSITY INTERVAL TRAINING ON COGNITION IN ADOLESCENTS – A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED PILOT STUDY

original paper

MARI STENMAN1, ARTO J. PESOLA1, ARTO LAUKKANEN1, EERO A. HAAPALA1,2
1 Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland
2 Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio Campus, Finland

ABSTRACT

Purpose. We investigated the effects of a two-week high-intensity interval training (HIT) on cognition in adolescents.

Methods. The participants were recruited from local high schools with an electronic messaging system. The HIT group participated in 4 high-intensity interval running sessions and 2 circuit training sessions. The control group (CG) continued their usual habits. Reaction time, choice reaction time, working memory, visual memory, and learning were assessed by computerized CogState test battery. The intervention effect was investigated with repeated measures ANOVA and the effect size by Morris dppc2.

Results. The total of 25 participants aged 17–20 years participated in the baseline measurements and were randomized into the intervention (n = 12) and control (n = 13) groups; 9 people in the HIT group and 10 in the CG also participated in the follow-up assessments. Reaction time (mean change [SD] = 0.02 [0.03] vs. –0.05 [0.08], p for time*group interaction = 0.025, dppc2 = –0.297) and choice reaction time (0.03 [0.03] vs. –0.01 [0.04], p for time*group interaction = 0.017, dppc2 = –0.874) improved more in the CG than in the HIT group. While we found no other statistically significant time*group interactions, intervention turned out to have a small negative effect on working memory (dppc2 = –0.470) and a small positive effect on visual memory and learning (dppc2 = 0.419).

Conclusions. The study on HIT produced mixed effects on cognition in adolescents. Studies with a longer intervention period and larger sample sizes are warranted to further explore HIT effects on cognition.
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Introduction

Physical inactivity causes an enormous public health and economic burden in developed countries [1]. Approximately half of the children meet the recommended levels of physical activity (PA) and the number of sufficiently active adolescents decreases with increasing age [2]. Inadequate levels of PA have been related to an increased risk of cardiovascular diseases and type 2 diabetes already in childhood [3]. They are also associated with poorer cognition and academic achievement in children and adolescents [4]. Interventions aiming to increase PA levels and thereby decrease inactivity-related cardiometabolic risk factors in children and adolescents have been relatively ineffective [5]. Thus, high-intensity interval training (HIT) has been suggested as an alternative mode of PA to improve health in children and adolescents [6, 7]. However, the evidence on the effects of HIT on cognition in adolescents is limited.

A single HIT session is typically shorter than 30 minutes and consists of short high-intensity work periods lasting from a few seconds to several minutes, separated by relatively short active or passive recovery periods [8, 9]. Exercise intensity in HIT may be maximal, but recent studies have suggested that nearly maximal (85–95% of maximal heart rate) HIT has similar health and fitness benefits as maximal HIT [8].

Higher levels of PA have been associated with better cognition in children and adolescents [4]. The results of one study also suggested that vigorous intensity PA may have a stronger relationship with cognition than light-to-moderate intensity PA in adolescents [10]. Therefore, HIT may provide an effective stimulus to improve cognitive functions among youth. However, the evidence from intervention studies on the effects of PA on cognition in adolescents is limited [11] and a few studies have investigated the impact of HIT on cognition in children or in adolescents. Eight-week HIT had a small positive effect on executive functions measured by the trail making task in adolescents aged 15 years [12]. A single bout
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of HIT has also been linked to improved attention in 9–11-year-old children [13]. Furthermore, a single bout of HIT have been found to improve cognitive control in adults [14] and the results of some studies suggest positive effects of HIT on cognition and brain oxygenation [15, 16].

The aim of the study was to investigate the effects of a 2-week HIT on cognition in adolescents. We hypothesized that the adolescents in the exercise group would improve their cognition more than the adolescents in the control group.

Methods

Study design and participants

The study is based on data collected in the Neural Effects of Exercise, Diet, and Sleep (NEEDS) Study (ISrCTN12991197), pilot phase, 2015–2016. The participants were recruited from high schools located in the city of Jyväskylä, Finland, with an electronic messaging system used in these schools. The adolescents were eligible to participate in the study if they were apparently healthy and free of any cardiovascular disease, untreated or poorly controlled type 1 diabetes, musculoskeletal trauma or disorder, or severe depression or anxiety. The total of 25 adolescents volunteered to participate in the study. They were contacted by phone or by e-mail and then invited to the 1-hour baseline assessments on a convenient weekday during or after a school day in the exercise and health laboratory of the University of Jyväskylä. After the baseline assessments, the participants were allocated to either the intervention (n = 12) or the control (n = 13) group with the use of a computer-based randomizer (www.randomizer.org). Altogether, 3 participants in the exercise group and 3 in the control group dropped out during the follow-up (Figure 1).

The protocol of the NEEDS Study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Jyväskylä, Finland.

Exercise intervention

The intervention included 4 running exercise sessions and 2 circuit training exercise sessions during a 2-week intervention period. Exercise sessions 1, 3, 4, and 6 were running sessions and exercise sessions 2 and 5 were circuit training sessions. All exercise sessions were separated by ≥ 32 hours. The exercise sessions were held during a school day at the school gymnasium, usually during the lunch break. The protocol of the running exercise sessions was adapted from Bond et al. [17]. The running protocol included a 3-minute standardized warm-up at light to moderate intensity. After the warm-up, the participants performed eight 1-minute maximal intensity sprint bouts, interspersed with 75-second pas-

Figure 1. A flowchart of the NEEDS pilot study
tioning in adolescents [19]. The results of the CogState battery correlate fairly well with the results of similar neurocognitive test batteries [20].

The processing speed was measured by the Detection Task (DET). The participants were asked to press a button as quickly as possible when a playing card flipped over on a computer screen. The score was the reaction time for correct responses ($\log_{10}$ milliseconds), with a lower score indicating better performance.

Attention was measured by the Identification Task (IDT). In the IDT, the participants were asked to choose whether the card that flipped over was red or not. If the card was red, the participants were required to press ‘yes,’ and if the card was black, the participants were asked to press ‘no.’ The score was the reaction time for correct responses ($\log_{10}$ milliseconds), with a lower score indicating better performance.

Working memory was assessed with the One Back Task (OBT) and the Two Back Task (TBT). In the OBT, the participants were instructed to decide, using buttons ‘yes’ or ‘no,’ whether a card presented was identical to the one presented just before. In the TBT, the participants were asked to choose whether a card presented was identical to the one presented two cards ago. The score in the OBT and TBT was the accuracy of the performance (arc sine transformation of the square root of the proportion of correct responses), with a higher score indicating better performance.

Visual learning and memory were evaluated by the Continuous Paired Association Learning Task (CPAL). First, the participants were instructed to learn and remember abstract pictures hidden beneath the circles in different locations on the computer screen. Second, the same abstract pictures were presented in the middle of the screen. The participants were instructed to recall where the same hidden pictures had been located. The score was the total number of errors, with a lower score indicating better performance.

Other assessments

Body height was measured twice with a wall mounted stadiometer, and the mean of these measurements was used in the analyses. Body weight, body fat mass, and fat free mass were evaluated with the InBody 720 bioimpedance device (InBody, Seoul, South Korea) after 3-hour fasting. Age- and sex-specific body mass index (BMI) for participants aged < 18 years were computed with the use of national reference values [21], and BMI for participants ≥ 18 years of age was calculated as body weight (kg)/body height$^2$ (m$^2$). Pubertal status was assessed according to self-reported pubertal development in boys and breast development in girls on the basis of the 5-stage criteria described by Tanner [22].

Cardiorespiratory fitness was evaluated by a maximal exercise test on an electromagnetically braked Monark 929E cycle ergometer (Monark Exercise AB, Sweden). The protocol included a 1-minute warm-up without resistance (workload 0) and an incremental exercise period with workload increasing by 1 watt/3 seconds until voluntary exhaustion. The participants were asked to keep the cadence of 70 during the test. The test was terminated when the participant was unable to keep the cadence of 50 or required to stop. The test was considered maximal if the reason to terminate it indicated maximal effort and cardiorespiratory capacity [23]. Cardiorespiratory fitness was defined as maximal workload at the end of the exercise test per fat free mass (W/FFM), derived from the bioimpedance analysis.

Statistical methods

We performed all data analyses using SPSS Statistics, version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Basic characteristics were compared between the HIT and control groups with the Student’s t-test and the chi-square test. The intervention effects on cognition were investigated with repeated measures analysis of variance. We also studied changes in the measures of cognition separately in the exercise group and the control group by the dependent samples t-test. Two sided p-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. We also evaluated the magnitude of changes in the measures of cognition, applying Morris $d_{pc2}$ as a measure of effect size (ES) [24]. Morris $d_{pc2}$ of 0.20 refers to a small, 0.50 to a moderate, and 0.80 to a large effect size [25].

Results

Basic characteristics

The basic characteristics of the participants in the HIT and control groups are presented in Table 1. There were no differences in age, sex distribution, self-reported pubertal status, age- and sex-specific BMI, or BMI between the groups. The mean of the maximal heart rate during HIT was 195 (standard deviation [SD] = 6.0) and the mean heart rate during HIT was 175 (SD = 6.7). The HIT had a small to moderate effect on cardiorespiratory fitness (change in W/FFM in the intervention group = 0.49 vs. 0.28 in the control group, $d_{pc2} = 0.757$).

We observed a statistically significant time*group interaction effect on reaction time in the DET and IDT tasks (Table 2). Reaction times worsened in the HIT group but improved or remained stable in the control group. Further analyses confirmed these findings: the reaction time in the IDT was increased from baseline assessment to follow-up assessment in the HIT group ($p = 0.020$) but remained stable in the control group ($p = 0.375$). We also noted that while there was no change in the TBT response accuracy in the HIT group, the response accuracy improved in the control group (change in TBT accuracy: 0.03, $p = 0.625$ vs. 0.14, $p = 0.01$, $d_{pc2} = -0.47$). The number of errors decreased significantly in the HIT
group but no statistically significant change in the number of errors was observed in the control group (change: −35.8, \( p = 0.039 \) vs. −15.9, \( p = 0.137 \), \( d_{ppc2} = 0.419 \)).

**Discussion**

In the presented study, we found that a 2-week HIT impaired processing speed and attention in adolescents. Furthermore, we observed that HIT had a small negative effect on working memory and a small positive effect on visual learning and memory. Despite the mixed effects of the HIT on cognition, the intervention also had a small to moderate impact on cardiorespiratory fitness.

Few studies have investigated the effects of HIT on cognition in children or adolescents. The only long term intervention study we are aware of observed moderate ES for the effects of 8-week HIT on executive function assessed by the trail making task [12]. Similarly, we found a moderate positive ES for visual memory and learning in favour of the intervention group. However, we also observed deteriorated reaction times among the HIT group, as well as improved working memory performance only in the control group. These results are in contrast with the outcomes obtained by Ma et al. [13], who noticed improved selective attention in children aged 9–11 years after a single bout of HIT. However, a direct comparison between studies in this field is difficult because the methods applied to assess cognition differ significantly. It is also possible that a simple reaction time task does not accurately reflect cognitive capacity among adolescents who are reaching their peak cognitive capacity. Furthermore, these few studies on the effects of HIT on cognition suggest that HIT may improve performance particularly in more complex cognitive tasks. This is in agreement with previous studies showing that exercise training has a pronounced effect on cognitive tasks requiring larger amount of cognitive control [26]. On the other hand, these studies may also indicate that HIT has a relatively small impact on cognition. Furthermore, the intervention period in our study, as well as in the previous research, was relatively short in duration. It is possible that a longer HIT training period would have a stronger effect on cognitive functions.

The possible mechanisms of how HIT may improve cognition include increased blood flow into the brain, raised synthesis of brain-derived growth factors, better insulin sensitivity, and enhanced neural processing and synaptic plasticity [7, 15, 16, 27]. Furthermore, there are also possible mechanisms which may explain the decreased cognition in our study. High-intensity exercise has been found to increase oxidative stress and to decrease antioxidant capacity [28], which may have an adverse effect on brain and cognition [29]. Howard et al. also hypothesized that intense exercise might compromise the energy balance needed for brain growth and development [30]. Some evidence suggests that improved cardiorespiratory fitness is associated with better cognition in children [27]. Although we observed a larger improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness in the HIT group than in the control group, it is possible that these changes were not sufficient to elicit clear positive effects on cognition in our study group.

The strengths of the present study include the randomized controlled design and valid and objective measures of cognition and cardiorespiratory fitness. We also conducted the training sessions in a school setting, which increases the translatability of the results to everyday life. The main limitations of our study consist in a small sample size, as well as unequal number of boys and girls. The participants were also relatively lean and fit, which may hinder the effects of the HIT on cognition and cardiorespiratory fitness. Furthermore, the intervention lasted only for 2 weeks, and possibly a longer training period is required for larger effects.

In conclusion, the 2-week HIT had mixed effects on cognition in adolescents. Although already 2-week HIT
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The effects of 2-week high-intensity interval training on cognition
has been found to efficiently improve cardiovascular health in youth [17], our results suggest that short term HIT may not be an optimal training mode to improve cognition in adolescents. However, these outcomes should be replicated and confirmed among larger study samples and with a longer training period.
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Table 2. The effects of 2-week high-intensity interval training (HIT) on cognition in adolescents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIT group (n = 9)</th>
<th>Control group (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repeated measures ANOVA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection Task (reaction time)</td>
<td>2.48 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification Task (reaction time)</td>
<td>2.70 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Back Task (accuracy)</td>
<td>1.35 (0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Back Task (accuracy)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Paired Association Learning Task (errors)</td>
<td>68.3 (61.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Task (errors)</td>
<td>32.6 (44.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold indicates statistically significant effects from the repeated measures ANOVA.


